



Computer Science

T08 - Week 9

Post-Midterm Exam Discussion

CS3230 – Design and Analysis of Algorithms

E. Han, S. Jain, W. Suksompong

Admin

TG19 stats: mean: 22.07, median: 21.75, 25th: 16.5, 75th: 28.5
Course stats: mean: 21.27, median: 19.75, 25th: 15, 75th: 26.5



Figure 1: MCQ Correctness Statistics from course.

Question A.1 [P1]



- D $O(n^8)$
- None of the above

Question A.1 [P1]

CS3230 Post-Midterm Exam Discussion

$n^{10} - n^9$ is in A $\Omega(n^{11})$ B $o(n^{10})$

- $\mathbf{C}~\Theta(n^9)$
- D ${\cal O}(n^8)$
- None of the above

Solution

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n^{10}-n^9}{n^{10}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)=1\implies n^{10}-n^9\in\Theta(n^{10})$, the correct answer is None of the above.

(n+1)! is in $\bigcirc O(n!)$

B $\omega(n!)$

 $\mathbf{C} \ \Theta(n!)$

D o(n!)

None of the above

(n+1)! is in

A O(n!)

B $\omega(n!)$

 $\mathbf{C} \ \Theta(n!)$

D o(n!)

None of the above

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Solution} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (n+1) = \infty \implies (n+1)! \in \omega(n!). \end{array}$



 $\mathbf{B} \ \Theta(n^2)$

C $\omega(n)$

D $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$

None of the above

$2^{\log_3 n}$ is in A $O(\log_2 n)$

- B $\Theta(n^2)$
- C $\omega(n)$
- D $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$
- None of the above

Solution

 $\begin{array}{l} 2^{\log_3 n}=n^{\log_3 2}=n^{0.6309\ldots}\text{, so options A, B, and C are incorrect. We check for D:}\\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2^{\log_3 n}}{\sqrt{n}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n^{\log_3 2}}{n^{1/2}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{\log_3 2-1/2}=\infty\implies 2^{\log_3 n}\in\Omega(\sqrt{n}). \end{array}$

Suppose $f(n) \in \Theta(n^2(\log n)^5)$ and $g(n) \in \Theta(n^5(\log n)^2)$. Then, f(n) + g(n) is in $\Theta(n^5(\log n)^5)$

- B $\Theta(n^2(\log n)^5)$
- C $\Theta(n^5(\log n)^2)$
- $\mathbf{D} \ \Theta(n^7 (\log n)^7)$
- None of the above

Suppose $f(n) \in \Theta(n^2(\log n)^5)$ and $g(n) \in \Theta(n^5(\log n)^2)$. Then, f(n) + g(n) is in $\Theta(n^5(\log n)^5)$

- B $\Theta(n^2(\log n)^5)$
- C $\Theta(n^5(\log n)^2)$
- $\mathbf{D} \ \Theta(n^7 (\log n)^7)$
- None of the above

Solution

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n^2(\log n)^5}{n^5(\log n)^2} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{(\log n)^3}{n^3} = 0 \implies f(n) \in o(g(n)). \text{ Hence,} \\ &\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(n)+g(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1 \implies f(n) + g(n) \in \Theta(n^5(\log n)^2). \end{split}$$

Suppose $T(n)=36T(n/6)+2n+n^{8/3}.$ Then, T(n) is in $\blacksquare \ \Theta(n^{8/3})$

- ${\rm B} \ \Theta(n^{8/3}\log n)$
- C $\Theta(n^2)$
- $\mathbf{D} ~ \Theta(n^2 \log n)$
- None of the above

Suppose $T(n)=36T(n/6)+2n+n^{8/3}. \label{eq:suppose}$ Then, T(n) is in

- A $\Theta(n^{8/3})$
- ${\rm B} \ \Theta(n^{8/3}\log n)$
- C $\Theta(n^2)$
- $\mathbf{D} ~ \Theta(n^2 \log n)$
- None of the above

Solution

Since
$$a = 36$$
, $b = 6$, $d = \log_6 36 = 2$, and $f(n) = 2n + n^{8/3} \in \Omega(n^{2+\epsilon})$ with $\epsilon = \frac{8}{3} - 2 = \frac{2}{3}$, and the regularity condition holds (e.g., $36 \cdot f(n/6) \le \frac{1}{6^{2/3}} f(n)$ for large n , with $\frac{1}{6^{2/3}} < 1$), by Master Theorem Case 3 we have $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{8/3})$.

Suppose $T(n) = 64T(n/4) + 3n^{1.5}$. Then, T(n) is in

- A $\Theta(n^2)$
- B $\Theta(n^3)$
- C $\Theta(n^{1.5})$
- $\mathbf{D} \ \Theta(n^{1.5}\log n)$
- None of the above

Suppose $T(n) = 64T(n/4) + 3n^{1.5}$. Then, T(n) is in

- A $\Theta(n^2)$
- B $\Theta(n^3)$
- C $\Theta(n^{1.5})$
- $\mathbf{D} \ \Theta(n^{1.5}\log n)$
- None of the above

Solution

Since a = 64, b = 4, $d = \log_4 64 = 3$, and $f(n) = 3n^{1.5} \in O(n^{3-\epsilon})$ with $\epsilon = 1.5$, by Master Theorem Case 1 we have $T(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$.

Question A.7 [P2]

Suppose T(n) = T(n/5) + 2T(n/3) + n. Then, T(n) is in

- A $\Theta(n)$
- B $\omega(n^2)$
- C $\Omega(n\log n)$
- D o(n)
- None of the above

Suppose T(n) = T(n/5) + 2T(n/3) + n. Then, T(n) is in

- A $\Theta(n)$
- B $\omega(n^2)$
- C $\Omega(n \log n)$
- D o(n)
- None of the above

Solution

Clearly, $T(n) \ge n$. Let $c \ge \frac{15}{2}$ be such that $T(n) \le cn$ for all $n \le 100$. We will show by induction that $T(n) \le cn$ for all n. Assuming that this is true for all $n < n_0$ where $n_0 > 100$, we have $T(n_0) \le c \cdot \frac{n_0}{5} + 2c \cdot \frac{n_0}{3} + n_0 \le cn_0$, where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $c \ge \frac{15}{2}$. Hence, $T(n) \in \Theta(n)$.

For any randomized algorithm, let E(n) and T(n) denote the expected and worst-case running time, respectively, for inputs of length n. Then, which of the following statement is always **TRUE**, irrespective of the randomized algorithm being considered?

- A For every $n, \, E(n) < T(n)$
- **B** For every n, E(n) = T(n)
- $\fbox{ For every } n, \ E(n) > T(n) \\$
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb D}$ For at least one $n,\,E(n) < T(n),$ and for at least one $n,\,E(n) > T(n)$
- None of the above

For any randomized algorithm, let E(n) and T(n) denote the expected and worst-case running time, respectively, for inputs of length n. Then, which of the following statement is always **TRUE**, irrespective of the randomized algorithm being considered?

- A For every $n, \, E(n) < T(n)$
- **B** For every n, E(n) = T(n)
- $\fbox{ For every } n, \ E(n) > T(n) \\$
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb D}$ For at least one $n,\,E(n) < T(n),$ and for at least one $n,\,E(n) > T(n)$

None of the above

Solution

Since T(n) is the maximum running time over all inputs and random choices, we always have $E(n) \leq T(n)$. However, it can happen that E(n) < T(n) for some n (possibly none), and E(n) = T(n) for the remaining n (possibly none).

Suppose we throw 3 balls independently and uniformly at random into 5 bins. Then,

- \blacksquare The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is 0.
- **B** The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is $\frac{3}{5}$.
- **C** The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is $\frac{1}{25}$.
- **D** The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is $\frac{1}{9}$.
- **E** None of the above.

Suppose we throw 3 balls independently and uniformly at random into 5 bins. Then,

- \blacksquare The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is 0.
- **B** The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is $\frac{3}{5}$.
- **C** The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is $\frac{1}{25}$.
- **D** The probability that all the balls fall into the same bin is $\frac{1}{9}$.
- None of the above.

Solution

Let B_i be the event that all 3 balls fall in bin *i*. Then, $\mathbf{Pr}(B_i) = (1/5)^3 = 1/125$, and since the events B_1, \ldots, B_5 are disjoint (never occur at the same time), $\mathbf{Pr}(\bigcup_{i=1}^5 B_i) = 5 \cdot (1/125) = 1/25$.

Consider an undirected graph G = (V, E) with n = |V| vertices and m = |E| edges. A randomized algorithm selects a vertex $v \in V$ uniformly at random and returns $\deg(v)$, where $\deg(v)$ denotes the degree of vertex v. Let X be the random variable that denotes the output of this algorithm. What is the expected value of X, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[X]$?

A m

- в n
- c m/n

D 2m/n

None of the above

Consider an undirected graph G = (V, E) with n = |V| vertices and m = |E| edges. A randomized algorithm selects a vertex $v \in V$ uniformly at random and returns $\deg(v)$, where $\deg(v)$ denotes the degree of vertex v. Let X be the random variable that denotes the output of this algorithm. What is the expected value of X, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[X]$?

A m

- в n
- c m/n
- D 2m/n
- None of the above

Solution

From the handshaking lemma, we have $\sum_{u \in V} \deg(u) = 2m$. Since the vertex is chosen uniformly at random from V, the expected value is $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = \frac{2m}{n}$.

There are three rods and $n \ge 1$ disks of different diameters, all stacked on the first rod, smallest on top and largest at the bottom.

Alice must move all disks to the third rod, following these rules:

- Move one disk at a time from the top of any rod.
- 2 No disk may be placed on a smaller disk.
- 3 (Variant) Disks can only move between adjacent rods.

Let f(n) be the number of moves Alice needs to complete this task (minimize moves, but no proof required).

a. Write a recurrence for f(n), including the base case(s), and explain how you derived it.
b. Solve the recurrence from part (a) (i.e., give a closed-form formula for f(n), with justification).

Solution a Alice can make the following moves¹:

Step	Move	From	То	Moves
1	Top $n-1$ disks	First rod	Third rod	$\overline{f(n-1)}$
2	Bottom disk	First rod	Second rod	1
3	Top $n-1$ disks	Third rod	First rod	f(n-1)
4	Bottom disk	Second rod	Third rod	1
5	Top $n-1$ disks	First rod	Third rod	f(n-1)

Hence,

- > Base case: f(1) = 2 moves (first rod to the second, second rod to the third)
- > Recursive step: 3f(n-1) + 2 moves

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 3f(n-1) + 2, & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

¹Think about another alternative way!

Solution b We claim that $f(n)=3^n-1$ and prove this by induction.

Base case

$$f(1) = 2 = 3^1 - 1.$$

Inductive step

Assume the claim holds for some $n \ge 1$, i.e., $f(n) = 3^n - 1$. Then:

$$\begin{split} f(n+1) &= 3f(n)+2 \\ &= 3(3^n-1)+2 \\ &= 3^{n+1}-3+2 \\ &= 3^{n+1}-1. \end{split}$$

Thus, the claim holds for all $n \ge 1$ by induction.

Solution b (via expansion)

We rearrange $f(n)+1=3f(n-1)+3=3(f(n-1)+1) \mbox{ and } f(1)+1=3.$ By expansion, we get

$$f(n)+1=3\,(3\,(\cdots 3\,(f(1)+1)\cdots))=3^n,$$

hence $f(n) = 3^n - 1$.

Teacher Bob has 10 students and 20 candies.

Each student assigns a distinct value to each candy, summing to 3230.

- > Bob sees all students' values and selects an ordering.
- > Students pick candies in two rounds based on Bob's order.
- > On each turn, a student picks their highest-value available candy.
- > Each student gets 2 candies, with a final value equal to their sum.

Prove that Bob can always choose an ordering such that the sum of all 10 students' final values is at least $3230.\,$

Solution

If the ordering is chosen **uniformly at random**, the expected total final value is at least 3230, implying that such an ordering must exist.

Expected Value Calculation

Fix a student with values for the candies $a_1>a_2>\cdots>a_{20},$ where:

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{20} = 3230.$$

- > In position j, the student picks at least a_j first.
- > In position 10 + j, she picks at least a_{10+j} .
- > Her final value is at least $a_j + a_{10+j}$. Since each position is equally likely (1/10), her expected final value is *at least*:

$$\frac{1}{10}\sum_{j=1}^{10}(a_j+a_{10+j}) = \frac{1}{10}\cdot 3230 = \frac{3230}{10}.$$

By linearity of expectation, the total expected value is at least: $10 \cdot \frac{3230}{10} = 3230$.

Charlie has 100 coins, knowing that 4 are fake but not which ones.

- > All real coins have the same weight.
- > All fake coins have the same weight, but are lighter than real coins.
- Charlie does not know these weights.

Charlie's Balance

He can compare two **disjoint** sets of coins A and B, determining:

- $\blacksquare A > B: A \text{ is heavier than } B$
- $\blacksquare A = B$: A and B weigh equally.

Determine, with proof, a small number k such that by using at most k weighings, Charlie can always point to one coin and say with certainty that this coin is real.

Solution

Charlie can determine the fake coins in at most k = 2 weighings.

Step 1: Initial Weighing

Divide 100 coins into A = 33, B = 33, C = 34.

- > Weigh A vs. B (If **unequal** at most **1** fake coin is in heavier set)
 - >>> Remove a coin from the heavier set (1 coins),
 - >> Weigh the rest in two equal sets (16 coins).
- Weigh A vs. B (If equal C has 0, 2, or 4 fake coins)
 Weigh B ∪ {x} vs. C for some x ∈ A.

Step 2.Neq: Second Weighing (If first weighing unequal, say² A > B)

Set A is split 3 ways A_1, A_2, A_3 with respective coin sizes 16, 16, 1.

- > Weigh A_1 vs. A_2 (If unequal)
 - >> Removed coin in A_3 is real.
- > Weigh A_1 vs. A_2 (If equal)
 - \checkmark Coins in both A_1 and A_2 are real.

Step 2.Eq: Second Weighing (If first weighing equal)

Create set $B' = B \cup \{x\}$, by adding $x \in A$ to B.

- > Weigh B' vs. C (If B' > C)
 - >> Added coin x is real.
- > Weigh B' vs. C (If B' = C)
 - >> Coins in $A \smallsetminus \{x\}$ are real.
- > Weigh B' vs. C (If B' < C)
 - \gg Coins in C are real.

It may be clearer to see an illustration of the decision tree of the 2 weighings with the possible configurations.



Figure 2: Configurations of fake coins across sets A, B, and C, where each column represents a unique combination. The table specifies the number of fake coins in each set (e.g., A/33 indicates that set A has 33 coins, with the corresponding cell showing the number of fake coins in A).